COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4145-02

Bill No.: SCS for SCR 35 & 32 with SA 1

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; General Assembly; State Tax Commission; Taxation

and Revenue - Property

Type: Original

Date: February, 2010

Bill Summary: Would disapprove the new values for agricultural and horticultural

property filed with the Secretary of State's Office on December 21, 2009,

by the State Tax Commission.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	go.	ga.	60	
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4145-012

Bill No. SCS for SCR 35 & 32 with SA 1

Page 2 of 4 February 1, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 20121	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Total Net Effect on All fu	ands expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (c	cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 4145-012 Bill No. SCS for SCR 35 & 32 with SA 1 Page 3 of 4 February 1, 2010

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** and the **State Tax Commission** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assumed a previous version of this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organizations. BAP officials stated that the proposal could impact school districts and the state Blind Pension Fund.

Oversight has not received responses to this version of the proposal due to the limited time available; however, Oversight assumes the amendment to this proposal would not result in a change in the fiscal impact to the state or local governments. Oversight notes this proposal would prohibit the implementation of new productivity valuations for agricultural and horticultural land, and thus prevent the revaluation of such land by local assessors. Because of the effect of existing property tax limitation provisions, Oversight assumes that such revaluation would have partially shifted local property taxes to agricultural and horticultural land and away from residential and commercial property. This proposal, if enacted, would prevent that revaluation and subsequent property tax shift. Accordingly, Oversight will indicate no fiscal impact for this proposal.

L.R. No. 4145-012

Bill No. SCS for SCR 35 & 32 with SA 1

Page 4 of 4 February 1, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 1, 2010